Case 2:15-cv-00104-MMB Document 1 Filed 01/12/15 Page 1 of 15 CIVIL COVER SHEET

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I)	ISTRUCTIONS ON THE REVI	ERSE OF THE FORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDAN				
MFGPC, INC. and I	BACON BITES, INC.			FIRST SAMCO, INC., ESTATE OF LOUIS DIEGIDIO and ALPHA AND OMEGA FIRE SPRINKLER COMPANY, INC.			
. , .	of First Listed Plaintiff		County of Reside	ence of First Listed Defendant			
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)	STORES IN	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	*		
			i i	I LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, US AND INVOLVED.	DE THE ECCATION OF THE		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone Numb	er)	Attorneys (If Kno	own)			
LAW OFFICES OF J		,					
Jonathan Wheeler, 16	**************************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	OICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases (OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES Only)	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintifi and One Box for Defendant)		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	PTF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	₩ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and I of Business In a			
			Citizen or Subject of a	☐ 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation	5 6 5 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)	Foreign Country				
CONTRACT 110 Insurance		RTS PERSONAL INJUR	FORFEITURE/PENA	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ Product Liability	□ 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic □ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability ■ PERSONAL PROPER □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability ■ PRISONER PETTIO □ 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence ■ Habeas Corpus: □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Ot User Sentence Of Story In Rights □ 555 Prison Condition	G20 Other Food & Drug G25 Drug Related Seizur of Property 21 USC G30 Liquor Laws G40 R.R. & Truck G50 Airline Regs. G60 Occupational Safety/Health G90 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standard Act Act G20 Labor/Mgmt. Relati 730 Labor/Mgmt. Report Act Act G20 Labor/Mgmt. Report Act T30 Labor/Mgmt. Report Act T30 Labor/Mgmt. Relati T30 Labor/Mgmt. Relat	23 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust		
Y ODICIN	Other 440 Other Civil Rights		☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes		
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 Re	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reopened 5	Transferred from another district (specify)			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of c	ause:	es Caused by Defend	ictional statutes unless diversity): ants' Negligence			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTION . 23 OF	n DEMAND'S <i>IN E</i> 150,000.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: Yes INo		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 01/12/2015		SIGNATURE OF AT	TTORNEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	1						
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT L	APPLVING IFP	II IT	OGE MAG III	DGE		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

 Example:

 U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 2:15-cv-00104 MMP STAGER OF 15 Page 3 of 15

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of

assignment to appropriate catendar.	
Address of Plaintiffs: 25108 Marguerite Parkway, Mission Viego, CA 92692 and 23 Fourth A	venue, Roseland, NJ 07068
Address of Defendants: 780 Haunted Lane, Bensalem, PA 19020 and 800 Haunted Lane, Ben	salem, PA 19020 and 1823 Byberry Road, Bensalem, PA 19020
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 780 Haunted Lane, Bensalem, PA 19020 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R,Civ.P. 7.1(a	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No X
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	1650 14074
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	vear previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No X
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No X
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	,
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No ∑
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigil	nts case filed by the same individual?
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Yes□ No X
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	 □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. 🕦 All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases	Fire Damage to Rented Premises
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CER (Check Appropriate C	
I, Jonathan Wheeler, Esquire , counsel of record do hereby certify:	
 □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$75,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. 	d belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
DATE: 01/12/2015	12649
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de rovo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# here has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending of except as noted above.	r within one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE: 01/12/2015 \ \lambda \lambda \ \text{Uh}	12649
CIV. 609 (6/08)	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (6/08)

Case 2:15-cv-00104-MMB Document 1 Filed 01/12/15 Page 4 of 15

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

and a straight of the straight	
Address of Plaintiffs: 25108 Marguerite Parkway, Mission Viego, CA 92692 and 23 Fourth Av	venue, Roseland, NJ 07068
Address of Defendants: 780 Haunted Lane, Bensalem, PA 19020 and 800 Haunted Lane, Bens	alem, PA 19020 and 1823 Byberry Road, Bensalem, PA 19020
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 780 Haunted Lane, Bensalem, PA 19020 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	_ · J
Dog this cost involve multi-flictate Malaysia, as at Milaysia	Yes□ No X
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	iesd hol
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ear previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No ∑
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior saction in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No X
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier in	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No ÿ
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	ts case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. 🛪 All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	Fire Damage to Rented Premises
ARBITRATION CERT	
(Check Appropriate Control of I, Jonathan Wheeler, Esquire , counsel of record do hereby certify:	ategory)
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$75,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 01/12/2015 Wh	12649
Aftorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial of novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE: 01/12/2015 Attorney-at-Law	
y pattornoy at Law	I ILLUCIALLY I.I.J.IT

CIV, 609 (6/08)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
215-568-2900	215-568-2901	jwheeler@jwheelerlaw	.com
_Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
1/12/15	Jonathan Wheeler	Plaintiffs	
(f) Standard Manageme	ent – Cases that do not fall into	any one of the other tracks.	(X)
commonly referred t	t – Cases that do not fall into a complex and that need spread spread of this form for a deta	pecial or intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases in exposure to asbestos	volving claims for personal in	jury or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases	required to be designated for a	arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
	ses requesting review of a dec denying plaintiff Social Secu	rision of the Secretary of Health rity Benefits.	()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Ca	ses brought under 28 U.S.C. §	§ 2241 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF TH	E FOLLOWING CASE MA	ANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete filing the complaint and side of this form.) In designation, that defends plaintiff and all other par	a Case Management Track Do serve a copy on all defendants the event that a defendant do ant shall, with its first appearan	elay Reduction Plan of this court, coun esignation Form in all civil cases at the tall (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the poes not agree with the plaintiff regardinate, submit to the clerk of court and serve a Designation Form specifying the track to	ime of reverse g said on the
FIRST SAMCO, INC.,	et al.	NO.	
v.	;		
MFGPC, INC., et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MFGPC, INC. :

25108 Marguerite Parkway

Mission Viejo, California 92692

and Civil Action No.

BACON BITES, INC. :

23 Fourth Avenue

Roseland, New Jersey 07068 : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

VS.

FIRST SAMCO, INC. :

780 Haunted Lane

Bensalem, PA 19020 :

and

ESTATE OF LOUIS DIEGIDIO :

800 Haunted Lane

Bensalem, PA 19020

and

ALPHA AND OMEGA FIRE SPRINKLER:

COMPANY, INC.

1823 Byberry Road :

Bensalem, PA 19020

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

- 1. MFGPC, Inc. ("MFGPC"), is a corporate entity duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California which maintains its principal place of business at the address set forth above. MFGPC, Inc. is a citizen and domiciliary of the State of California.
- 2. Bacon Bites, Inc. ("BACON BITES"), is a corporate entity duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey which maintains its principal place of business at the address set forth above. BACON BITES is a citizen and domiciliary of the State of New Jersey.
 - 3. Defendant, First Samco, Inc. ("FIRST SAMCO"), is a corporate entity duly

organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which maintains its principal place of business at the address set forth above. FIRST SAMCO is a citizen and domiciliary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- 4. Defendant, Estate of Louis Diegidio ("DIEGIDIO"), is a corporate entity maintaining its principal place of business at 800 Haunted Lane, Bensalem, PA 19020.

 DIEGIDIO is a citizen and domiciliary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 5. Defendant, Alpha and Omega Fire Sprinkler Company, Inc., ("ALPHA") is a corporate entity duly organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania which maintains its principal place of business at the address set forth above. ALPHA is a citizen and domiciliary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

JURISDICTION

6. Jurisdiction is based upon Diversity of Citizenship pursuant to 28 USC 1332 et seq. there being a complete Diversity of Citizenship between Plaintiffs and all Defendants and the amount in controversy being in excess of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs.

OPERATIVE FACTS

- 7. At all times material hereto, DIEGIDIO was the owner of a certain industrial park located at 780 Haunted Lane, Bensalem, PA 19020, known as the "Waters Edge Industrial Park" ("WATERS EDGE").
- 8. At all times material hereto, FIRST SAMCO was a tenant in WATERS EDGE and leased premises identified as 780 Haunted Lane, Bensalem, PA 19020, pursuant to a Lease Agreement with DIEGIDIO.
- ALPHA is the sprinkler service company responsible for the testing, servicing,
 and inspection of the fire alarm protection and sprinkler system installed in WATERS EDGE,

and for at least three years prior to January 14, 2013, and most recently on April 30, 2014, had inspected, tested and serviced the fire alarm protection and sprinkler system located at WATERS EDGE, including the systems installed in premises occupied by FIRST SAMCO.

- 10. On January 14, 2013, a fire occurred at WATERS EDGE which originated in premises occupied by FIRST SAMCO which spread to adjoining premises and resulted in smoke, soot, and water damage as a result of the fire suppression efforts necessary to extinguish the fire.
- 11. Andrew Kasparian and Joseph Scavitto, trading as Gabe's Candy and Nut House, ("GABE'S"), is a tenant at WATERS EDGE occupying a unit identified as 778 Haunted Lane, Bensalem, PA 19020.
- 12. Prior to the fire, MFGPC and BACON BITES had entered into co-packaging agreements with GABE'S and in furtherance of this agreement, had caused substantial amounts of inventory and packaging for products to be produced and packaged by GABE'S pursuant to the co-packaging agreement to be placed in unit 780 Haunted Lane occupied by GABE'S.
- 13. As a result of the fire which occurred on January 14, 2013, the inventory and packaging materials placed by MFGPC and BACON BITES in the premises occupied by GABE'S were destroyed, and as a result of the destruction of this inventory and the consequential interruption of business activities by GABE'S as a result of damages caused by the fire, caused an interruption on the business activity of MFGPC and BACON BITES and MFGPC and BACON BITES suffered a loss of sales and business income in an amount in excess of \$150,000.00.
- 14. Following the fire it was determined that the fire alarm and fire protective system had failed to function for its intended purpose, specifically resulting in a delay in notifying the

Fire Department and a continuous flow of water from the fire protection system until it was turned off by the Fire Department hours after the fire first occurred.

- 15. In addition, following the fire, it was determined that the fire protection sprinkler system was not "tied in" to the local authorities so as to notify them to suppress the fire once it was first detected by (and upon activation of) the fire protection sprinkler system, and that the water gong alarm failed to sound because a bird's nest had been built between the hammer and bell of the gong preventing the hammer from impacting the bell to sound the alarm.
- 16. Following the fire it was determined by the Bensalem Township Fire Department that the fire had originated in the packing area in the rear of the unit occupied by FIRST SAMCO specifically from the space heater located under the desk of an employee of FIRST SAMCO in which it negligently had been left on thereby overheating and causing the fire.

LIABILITY OF FIRST SAMCO

- 17. At all times material hereto FIRST SAMCO was acting by and through its duly authorized agents, servants, workmen or employees, including, but not limited to Janet Frimet, who were acting at all times material hereto within the course and scope of their employment and on the business of said employer.
- 18. The fire which occurred on January 14, 2013, and the damages resulting therefrom were the direct, factual and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of FIRST SAMCO acting by and through its duly authorized agents, servants, workmen or employees, consisting as follows:
- a. in failing to exercise reasonable proper care in the control of a space heater and cord so as to prevent the risk of fire;
 - b. in permitting a space heater to operate when no one was present to oversee the

safe operation of the space heater;

- c. in failing to adequately instruct, supervise and train its employees as to the safe and proper use and/or proper placement of a space heater and its cord resulting in an associated risk of fire;
- d. in failing to provide, establish and/or follow proper and adequate controls to insure the proper placement and/or use of a space heater and its cord so as to prevent a fire.

LIABILITY OF DIEGIDIO

- 19. At all times material hereto DIEGIDIO was acting by and through its duly authorized agents, servants, workmen or employees, acting within the course and scope of their employment and on the business of said employer.
- 20. As landlord for WATERS EDGE, DIEGIDIO had a duty to insure that its buildings were adequately maintained, especially with regard to the proper operation of the fire protection sprinkler system including the tenant space occupied by FIRST SAMCO so as to protect from harm the property of other tenants in the building including the tenant unit occupied by GABE'S which contained product and packing material owned by Plaintiffs.
- 21. The direct, factual and proximate cause of the fire which occurred on January 14, 2013 and the resulting damage was the carelessness and negligence of DIEGIDIO acting by and through its duly authorized agents, servants, workmen or employees, consisting as follows:
- a. in failing to insure that the fire protection system was properly serviced, tested and inspected by ALPHA;
- b. in failing to insure that the fire protection sprinkler system was tied into the local authorities so that upon activation the local Fire Department would be immediately notified;

- c. in failing to insure that the audible alarm on the premises was working upon activation of the fire protection sprinkler system;
- d. in failing to insure that the fire protection sprinkler system did not violate the local or national codes including NFPA 25, the Pennsylvania Uniform Construction Code, by reference to the International Fire Code (2009) which was enforced through the Bensalem Township Fire Rescue Inspection;
 - e. in failing to maintain premises which were safe and protected from fire;
- f. in permitting the spoilation of evidence relating to the cause of the fire by permitting its insurer to perform destructive testing on the space heater in question before it could be inspected or tested by Plaintiffs.

LIABILITY OF ALPHA

- 22. At all times material hereto ALPHA was acting by and through its duly authorized agents, servants, workmen or employees, acting within the course and scope of their employment and on the business of said employer.
- 23. In undertaking to perform the servicing, testing and/or inspection of the fire protection sprinkler system at WATERS EDGE, ALPHA had a duty to insure that the fire protection sprinkler system was properly and adequately operating including the fact that the onsite alarm gong was audible and that the fire protection sprinkler system was tied into the local authority so as to notify the local Fire Department immediately upon its activation.
- 24. The direct, factual and proximate cause of the fire which occurred on January 14, 2013 and the resulting damage suffered by Plaintiffs was the carelessness and negligent breach of its duty of care caused by the acts or omissions of ALPHA'S agents, servants, workmen or employees, consisting as follows:

- a. in failing to insure that the fire protection sprinkler system was properly serviced, tested and inspected during their annual service and inspection visit;
- b. in failing to insure that the fire protection sprinkler system was tied into the local authorities so that upon activation the local Fire Department would be immediately notified;
- c. in failing to insure that the audible alarm on the premises was working properly upon activation of the fire protection system as required by the applicable code NFPA 25, reinspection, testing and maintenance of water based fire protection systems;
- d. in failing to insure that the fire protection sprinkler system did not violate local or national codes including NFPA 25 and Pennsylvania Uniform Building Code by reference to the International Fire Code (2009) which was enforced through the Bensalem Township Fire Rescue Inspection;
- e. in failing to otherwise act in a reasonable and proper manner as the sprinkler contractor to ensure that the fire protection sprinkler system and alarms were operating properly so as to warn tenants of the building upon the occurrence of a fire and to inspect the system to prevent the excessive water discharge from the fire protective system.

COUNT I MFGPC v. First Samco

- 25. MFGPC incorporates by reference herein the averments set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of Plaintiffs' Complaint, as fully as though same were here set forth at length.
- 26. As a result of the acts or omissions of FIRST SAMCO, MFGPC suffered damage and loss to its product and packaging materials together with a consequential loss of sales and business income for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00.

WHEREFORE, MFGPC demands judgment against FIRST SAMCO for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 together with interest, costs and such other relief as the court may deem equitable and just.

COUNT II MFGPC v. Diegidio

- 27. MFGPC incorporates by reference herein the averments set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of Plaintiffs' Complaint, as fully as though same were here set forth at length.
- 28. As a result of the acts or omissions of DIEGIDIO, MFGPC suffered damage and loss to its product and packaging materials together with a consequential loss of sales and business income for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00.

WHEREFORE, MFGPC demands judgment against DIEGIDIO for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 together with interest, costs and such other relief as the court may deem equitable and just.

COUNT III MFGPC v. Alpha

- 29. MFGPC incorporates by reference herein the averments set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of Plaintiffs' Complaint, as fully as though same were here set forth at length.
- 30. As a result of the acts or omissions of ALPHA, MFGPC suffered damage and loss to its product and packaging materials together with a consequential loss of sales and business income for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00.

WHEREFORE, MFGPC demands judgment against ALPHA for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 together with interest, costs and such other relief as the court may deem equitable and just.

COUNT IV Bacon Bites v. First Samco

- 31. BACON BITES incorporates by reference herein the averments set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of Plaintiffs' Complaint, as fully as though same were here set forth at length.
- 32. As a result of the acts or omissions of FIRST SAMCO, BACON BITES suffered damage and loss to its product and packaging materials together with a consequential loss of sales and business income for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00.

WHEREFORE, BACON BITES demands judgment against FIRST SAMCO for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 together with interest, costs and such other relief as the court may deem equitable and just.

COUNT V Bacon Bites v. Diegidio

- 33. BACON BITES incorporates by reference herein the averments set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of Plaintiffs' Complaint, as fully as though same were here set forth at length.
- 34. As a result of the acts or omissions of DIEGIDIO, BACON BITES suffered damage and loss to its product and packaging materials together with a consequential loss of sales and business income for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00.

WHEREFORE, BACON BITES demands judgment against DIEGIDIO for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 together with interest, costs and such other relief as the court may deem equitable and just.

COUNT VI Bacon Bites v. Alpha

- 35. BACON BITES incorporates by reference herein the averments set forth in the foregoing paragraphs of Plaintiffs' Complaint, as fully as though same were here set forth at length.
- 36. As a result of the acts or omissions of ALPHA, BACON BITES suffered damage and loss to its product and packaging materials together with a consequential loss of sales and business income for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00.

WHEREFORE, BACON BITES demands judgment against ALPHA for an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 together with interest, costs and such other relief as the court may deem equitable and just.

LAW OFFICES OF JONATHAN WHEELER, P.C.

BY:

ONATHAN WHEELER, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D. No.: 12649

One Penn Center - Suite 1270

1617 JFK Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 568-2900

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)